## C/CAG

# City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

### **VTA**

Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority

### TA

**San Mateo County Transportation Authority** 

# 2020 Peninsula Gateway Corridor Study Technical Advisory Committee

**DATE:** Wednesday, November 7, 2007

**TIME:** 2:00 P.M

**PLACE:** Menlo Park City Hall

1<sup>st</sup> Floor Council Conference Room 701 Laurel Street, Menlo Park, CA

\*

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Universe of Alternative Assessment Matrix\*

(Review updated matrix for benefits, costs, and impacts)

3. Categorization of Project Alternatives\*

(Assign project alternatives into categories for the purpose of establishing strategies to identify potential projects for the next phase of the 2020 Study)

4. Next Steps

(Discuss remaining steps to complete the Study and generate the Final Report)

- 5. Schedule next meeting for December 5, 2007
- 6. Adjourn.

<sup>\*</sup> Attachment

### 2020 PENINSULA GATEWAY CORRIDOR STUDY AGENDA REPORT

**Date:** November 7, 2007

**To:** 2020 Peninsula Gateway Corridor Study – Technical Advisory Committee

**From:** John Hoang

**Subject:** Categorization of Project Alternatives

(For further information contact John Hoang at 363-4105)

\_\_\_\_\_

It is requested that committee members make an initial attempt to assign the various project alternatives into project categories (see below) and be ready to discuss outcome during the meeting. The TAC, as a group, will discuss the project categories and go through the exercise of categorizing each project alternatives. Please refer to the "Universe of Projects" description and latest assessment matrices for project descriptions and assessments (included with Agenda packet)

Below are the five categories and definitions that will be used for categorizing different project alternatives. Project alternatives identified in the "Universe of Alternatives" will be categorized based on the resulting analyses performed including traffic benefits, project costs, and potential impacts as depicted in the alternative assessment matrices. The purpose of assigning project alternatives into these categories is to establish strategies to identify potential projects for the next phase of the 2020 Study.

#### REFERRAL TO OTHER AGENCY

These projects would be referred to other more appropriate agencies such (i.e., local cities) for consideration and follow up.

#### PROJECT DEVELOPMENT (Short-term or Long-term)

These projects have clearly identifiable and immediate benefits, have general support, and have a high probability of being funded.

#### PHASE II STUDY

These projects should be studied further to resolve issues.

#### STUDY LATER

These projects are long-term and should be studied further at a later date.

#### NOT CONSISTENT WITH GOALS

These projects are not consistent with goals established for the 2020 Study. Study Goals are: facilitate access, enhance economic opportunities, reduce congestion and local community impacts, and minimize environmental impacts on sensitive resources.